

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,601	03/30/2004	Michael David Bentley	034848/276723	4382	
826	7590 12/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			TRUON	TRUONG, DUC	
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	TE, NC 28280-4000		1711		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

w= t= -		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/813,601	BENTLEY ET AL.	34			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Duc Truong	1711				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Respo	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This ac	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C	Claims	,					
4a) Of to 5)⊠ Claim(6)⊠ Claim(7)□ Claim(4) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 31-44 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application Pap	pers .						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)		_					
	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Information Dis	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ail Date <u>092104</u> .			152)			

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 21-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12-21 and 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,737,505. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claimed formula is included in the broad teachings of claim 12 of the reference in that if R' is methoxy (claim 13), POLY is poly(ethylene glycol) (claim 15); Q is O (claim 1); Z is methyl group(claims 18-19); m=2 (claim 20) then claim 12 of the reference is identical with the instant claim 21.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the variations from the claims of the reference within the limitations of the instant claims to get the product, a conjugate of the claimed formula since they have been shown to be effective in a similar system and thus would have been expected to provide

Application/Control Number: 10/813,601 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

adequate results. There is no showing of unexpected results derived from said selections.

Claims 31-44 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DT

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER